

CARBON DIOXIDE REMOVAL: IS EFFECTIVE AND ADAPTIVE REGULATION OF EARTH SCALE CLIMATE MANIPULATION CONCIVABLE?

David Langlet

David.Langlet@juridicum.su.se

Ensure, ..., in the absence of **science based**, global, transparent and effective control and regulatory mechanisms for geo-engineering, and in accordance with the precautionary approach ..., that no climate-related geo-engineering activities that may affect biodiversity take place, until there is an adequate scientific basis on which to justify such activities and appropriate consideration of the associated risks for the environment and biodiversity and associated social, economic and cultural impacts, with the exception of small scale scientific research studies...



CBD, COP 10/L36



Geoengineering?

Carbon dioxide removal, CDR

- "CO₂ scrubbers" (air capture) with storage/sequestration

- Bioenergy with CO₂ capture and stor./seq. (BECS)

- Ocean fertilization



Solar radiation management (SRM)

- Space-based Reflectors
- Stratospheric Aerosols
- Increased Urban Albedo



Assessing from a resilience perspective?

- Should (at least) include consideration of
 - Temporal and spatial aspects of risks & benefits
 - Reversibility of measures and effects
 - Redundancy (feasible)
 - Level of containment/dispersal (of substances/effects)

"Margin of failure"



- Does the measure/technique allow for
 - "bad" decisions
 - technical failure
 - lack of financial resources
 - loss of knowledge
 - failing (short term) incentives

without severe consequences?

• Will any serious consequences be reversible?

Attendant risks



- Physical risks:
 - direct harm to humans, ecosystems
 - negative effects on the climate system
- Political risks:
 - diversion of fund from more effective/viable action
 - prompting complacency (moral hazard)
 - power accumulation
 - lack of legitimacy/acceptance (how deal with over time?)

Significant characteristics



- how many actors required, what kind?
- what need for coordination (mechanisms)?
- what knowledge and technology required?
 (ability to acquire, retain, develop over time)
- reversibility of action/process?
- adaptability of management system(s) over time

Air capture + storage/BECS

- Physical risks for humans are very local
 benefits global (problem of weighing up)
- Climate risks (direct) only if large scale leakage
 - "political risk" diversion of large resources to costly, unproven technology
 - "moral hazard" may prompt complacency
- Low reversibility of phys. risk/ medium rev. of effect
- Many actors/projects needed (for significant effect)
- Low need for coordination
- Knowledge intensive needs to be retained over time
- Robust legal/financial incentives needed
- Safety dependent on "storage sites being well selected, well designed and operated, and appropriately monitored"





Conclusion

- A "resilience-inspired perspective" may enhance our understanding of the problems associated with regulating large-scale and complex climate intervention projects
- It does not solve the problem of weighing up different (kinds of) benefits and risks

Principal references



- Folke, C., J. Colding, and F. Berkes, Synthesis: building resilience and adaptive capacity in social-ecological systems. In F. Berkes, J. Colding, and C. Folke, (eds). Navigating Social-ecological..., Cambridge University Press 2002, pp. 352-387.
- Geoengineering the climate: science, governance and uncertainty, The Royal Society, Sept 2009
- Langlet, D. "Safe Return to the Underground? The Role of International Law in Subsurface Storage of Carbon Dioxide", 18 (3) *RECIEL* (2009), pp. 286-303
- Underdal, A., Complexity and challenges of long-term environmental governance,
 20:3 *Global Environmental Change* (2010) pp. 386-393.
- Virgoe, J., International governance of a possible geo-engineering intervention to combat climate change, 95: 1 *Climatic Change* (2009) pp. 103-119
- Zedalis, R. J., Climate Change and the National Academy of Science's Idea of Geoengineering..., *EEELR*, (2010) 18-32