

# Evaluation

## Master Programme of European Intellectual Property Law

### Module 1, 2010

Points are assigned according to a scale from 1-5, where: 1 = wholly unsatisfactory; 2 = unsatisfactory; 3 = satisfactory; 4 = more than satisfactory; 5 = excellent

#### 1. What did you expect from the module?

- to get basic knowledge about IP law
- to get in-depth knowledge about IP law
- to get practical skills in applying IP law
- gain deeper understanding about the current legal policy debate in IP law
- other expectations (please, state what)

.....

.....

.....

#### 2. Do you think the course provided you with valuable knowledge/skills about:

- **copyright?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

- **trademark law?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

- **patents?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

- **designs?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

- **market law?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

**- private international law?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

**- the current legal and political debate around IP law?**

yes            to a great extent            more or less            not at all

**Comments:**

.....  
.....  
.....

**3. Do you think the course failed to cover any important topic pertaining to modern IP law? If yes, please specify.**

.....  
.....  
.....

**4. Do you think some part(s) of the course were redundant (not necessary) and if so, which?**

.....  
.....  
.....

**5. Did you miss any particular form of teaching/studying during the course (group exercises, cases, meetings with practitioners, moot court, etc.) ?**

.....  
.....  
.....

**6. What is your opinion about the summaries?**

**- usefulness**

very useful                      useful                      not very useful                      not useful at all

**- difficulty**

very difficult                      rather difficult                      sufficiently demanding                      very easy

.....  
.....  
.....

**7. Do you appreciate the opportunity to have oral presentation of the summaries?**

**- usefulness**

very useful                      useful                      not very useful                      not useful at all

**- difficulty**

very difficult                      rather difficult                      sufficiently demanding                      very easy

**Other comments:**

.....  
.....  
.....

**8. What is your opinion about the teaching in general?**

**- in terms of quantity**

far too much teaching    a little too much teaching    a good balance  
far too little teaching

**- in terms of quality (scale 1-5)                      .....**

**Other comments:**

.....  
.....  
.....

**9. Do you have any comments and/or suggestions regarding the contributions of individual lecturers? You can also use the scale 1-5 (1= poor, 5= excellent)**

**Name of teacher and subject**

**Marianne Levin (International Conventions)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Henry Olsson, Tarja Koskinen Olsson (Copyright and Free Speech)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Per-Jonas Nordell, Johan Axham, Katarina Renman Claesson (European Copyright)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Antonina Bakardjieva Engelbrekt (Market Law E-commerce)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Frantzeska Papadopoulou, Åsa Hellstadius (Patents)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Marianne Levin (Design)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Thomas Lavelle (Legal English)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Annette Kur (Trademarks)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Marianne Levin (Geographical Indications)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Per-Jonas Nordell, Johan Axham, Katarina Renman Claesson (Copyright Seminar)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Marcus Norrgård (Enforcement & Sanctions)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Lydia Lundstedt (Private International Law)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Frantzeska Papadopoulou, Åsa Hellstadius (Patent Seminar)**

.....  
.....  
.....

**Jens Shovsbo, Claes Granmar (Competition Law)**

.....

.....

.....

**Claes Granmar, Per-Jonas Nordell (Trademark Seminar)**

.....

.....

.....

**Lydia Lundstedt, Marcus Norrgård (PIL-Seminar)**

.....

.....

.....

**Claes Granmar, Vladimir Bastidas, Jens Schovsbo (Competition, Licensing and Parallel Import Seminar)**

.....

.....

.....

**10. How do you evaluate the following material?**

- **European IP Statutory Instruments**

.....  
.....

- **International IP Statutory Instruments**

.....  
.....

**11. How do you evaluate the suggested course literature?**

- **Bently**

.....  
.....

- **Cornish**

.....  
.....

- **Tritton**

.....  
.....

- **Additional comments**

.....  
.....

**12. If you were to choose the form of examination what would you prefer?**

- an individual assignment in the form of an essay (10-15 pages)
- a group paper
- as it is now: a take home exam
- other form (please state what?)

.....

.....

**13. What is your opinion about the administration of the course (scale 1-5)? .....**

.....

.....

.....

**14. Did the course fulfill your expectations?**

- yes, fully
- yes, to a great extent
- more or less
- no, rather not
- not at all

.....

.....

.....

**Any other comment and suggestions for the future:**

.....

.....

.....

.....

**Thank you very much for your assistance!**

